

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,809	10/613,809 07/03/2003		Rick C. Stevens	5744EA-00252	6824
44341	7590	03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
JACOBSO		HNSON R STREET, SUIT	STAHL, MICHAEL J		
ST. PAUL,			203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2874	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/613,809	STEVENS, RICK	C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Stahl	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statudent and the set of th	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	· · ·		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7 and 10-20 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	` ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2874

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities.

At p. 5 line 26, "bass" should be "base".

At p. 7 line 17, "form" should be "from".

At p. 7 line 27, "mircropores" should be "micropores".

At p. 10 line 7, "doted" should be "dotted".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 10-20 are objected to because they should be renumbered as claims 8-18. It is noted that the application as filed does not contain a claim 8 or claim 9. For purposes of this Office action, the claims will be referenced by their current numbers. However, applicant should renumber them in the response to this action so as not to leave any gaps. See 37 CFR 1.75(f).

Claim 1 is objected to because in line 7, "moveable" should be "moveably".

Claim 2 is objected to because in line 2, "housing" should be "base".

Claim 4 is objected to because in line 2, "transvere" should be "transverse".

Claim 5 is objected to because "the optical transmission conductor" should be replaced with either "the optical fiber" or "the optical waveguide", or both in the alternative. There is no previous recitation of an optical transmission conductor. See claim 3. The recitation of "optical transmission conductor" is problematic in this situation because there are elements other than optical fibers and optical waveguides which can be construed as optical transmission conductors.

Art Unit: 2874

Alternatively, claim 5 could be amended to recite that the first optical element and the second optical element are located at substantially a right angle to each other.

Claim 7 is objected to because in line 3, "remotely reposition" should be replaced with "remotely repositioning" to agree with the rest of the claim.

Claim 10 is objected to because there is no antecedent basis in the claim for "the optical conductor" in lines 6-7. It is noted that claim 10 refers earlier to first and second optical elements. If one of these optical elements is supposed to be an optical conductor, this should be clearly recited in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-6 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 6580858).

Claim 1: Chen discloses a post packaging alignable optical coupling (fig. 5) comprising: a base 101; a first optical element 135 for receiving or transmitting an optical signal, the first optical element located in a fixed condition on the base; a second optical element 10 for receiving or transmitting an optical signal; a mirror 131 interposed to intercept an optical signal from one of the optical elements, the mirror moveably disposed with respect to the base; and a

Art Unit: 2874

 $A_{g_{k}}$

MEMS actuator 139, the actuator supporting the mirror to enable repositioning the mirror to direct the optical signal from the first optical element into alignment with the second optical element or vice versa.

Claim 2: The second optical element 10 is fixedly mounted to the base (col. 4 lns. 10-12).

Claim 3: One of the optical elements is an optical fiber.

Claim 4: The first optical element and the second optical element are located in a transverse condition from each other.

Claim 5: The first optical element and the optical fiber are located at substantially a right angle to each other.

Claim 6: The optical coupling includes at least four optical elements (the fig. 5 embodiment in particular includes five mirrors which are involved in the coupling).

Claims 16-18: The limitations of these claims are met by the Chen module as noted above with respect to various preceding claims. It is noted that the mirror 131 has a reflecting surface larger than an optical port (such as the core of fiber 10 or the aperture of device 135).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2874

Claim 7, 10-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (cited above).

Claims 7, 10, and 19: Chen does not expressly disclose a shroud which encompasses the optical elements. Shrouds for integrated optical devices are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a skilled person at the time the invention was made to have provided the Chen module with a shroud since this would prevent undesirable contamination of the optical surfaces. It is noted that the process of making the Chen module including the proposed modification (addition of a shroud) would have met the limitations of claim 10.

Claim 11: Chen does not disclose an embodiment in which a single mirror is rotatable and tiltable (i.e. a mirror having two rotation axes). Instead Chen uses one mirror 131 with a single rotation axis and another mirror 133 which is translated. The effect of these combined motions is to control the relative x or y positions of the beam upon the device 135 (col. 4 lns. 16-33). Two-axis MEMS mirrors were well known in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a skilled person to have further modified the Chen module by replacing the two movable mirrors with a single two-axis mirror since this could accomplish the same x-y positioning with one fewer mirror. The resultant device would also have been more optically efficient since each additional mirror reduces the efficiency as suggested at col. 4 ln. 55 - col. 5 ln. 11.

Claim 12: The Chen module couples a single fiber 10 to a single device 135, but Chen does not disclose an embodiment wherein multiple fibers are coupled to multiple respective devices via multiple respective repositionable mirrors. However, it has been held that duplication of parts is not patentably significant unless an unexpected result is produced (In re

Art Unit: 2874

Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). In the present case, it would have been obvious to a skilled person to have constructed the Chen module with at least an additional fiber, an additional device, and an additional train of coupling mirrors, in order to provide the module with a higher signal handling capacity and/or to provide for bidirectional communication.

Claim 13: The method of making the Chen module further includes fixedly mounting an optical conductor 10 to the base.

Claim 14: The method of making the Chen module further includes fixedly mounting a second optical element 135 to the base.

Claim 15: A MEMS actuator 139 is mounted to the base and to the mirror 131.

Claim 20: Chen does not refer to shipping. It would have been obvious to a skilled person to have constructed and packaged for shipment the basic module of fig. 5, and to have shipped the module without first aligning the optical elements, since this would have saved a processing step at the factory (e.g. the alignment procedure could be outsourced or finalized by an end user of the module), and since it would have avoided any problems with de-alignment of the elements due to forces experienced during shipping.

Conclusion

The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form are considered relevant to the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Stahl at 571-272-2360. Inquiries of a general or clerical nature (e.g., a request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the technical

Art Unit: 2874

support staff supervisor at 571-272-1626. Official communications which are eligible for

submission by facsimile and which pertain to this application may be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MIZ

Mike Stahl Patent Examiner Art Unit 2874

March 1, 2005

Rodney Bovernick
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 7